OSM EUAG PERSPECTIVE

Andy Reid, BT (Chair, OSM End User Advisory Group)
Tetsuya Nakamura, CableLabs
OPERATOR LED AND REQUIREMENTS DRIVEN

• MANO is about automation of network operator service/network design and build processes

• Two interrelated objectives for OSM
  • Open ‘industrial strength’ implementation
  • Open learning by doing

• EUAG gives vision and direction for OSM

• EUAG sets out release requirements
  • Release requirements have strong practical focus
  • 6 month release cycle

• Seeking to avoid the “all things to all people”
VALUE OF OPEN SOURCE

• Real learning by doing

• Some operator OSS is currently in-house or bespoke developed
  • Open source is a relatively simple next step

• Effective way of achieving systems which meet requirements
  • Standards are sometime opaque to whether they meet requirements
  • Standards are often susceptible to different incompatible interpretations
  • Key requirements may not be covered by standards
  • MANO standards are highly complex
LONG TERM VISION – AUTOMATION

- Automation across different the timeframes
  - Design and development - On-boarding
    - Full automation here is still some way off
    - Assisting and easing the process
  - Instance life-cycle
    - What can be changed in-life and what is immutable
    - Especially important when considering impact on higher layers
  - In-life
    - Performance – auto scaling etc
    - Resilience – fault tolerant load sharing
    - Service monitoring, assurance, and reporting
    - Still not properly brought in scope

- And across all layers
  - NS in NS, NS, VNF, VM, VL
  - Looking for flexible, robust, recursive framework

- All this automation is policy driven
  - Policy must be executable

- Heterogeneous
  - Multi-VIM is essential but just the start
  - Multiple VL solutions
    - Multi-SDN controllers
    - Existing OSS
  - Flexible, robust, recursive framework
  - Plug-in architecture
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RAPID FEEDBACK TO ARCHITECTURE AND STANDARDS

• We already have a running system
  • Rapid, continuous development with full support of CI/CD toolkit
  • OSM fully participated in the ETSI NFV Plugtest

• Using the NSD and VNFD IM
  • MAN001
    • Identified a number of ambiguities
    • A lot of important stuff is outside the templates
  • IFA011/IFA014
    • Fixes some problems by opens up many more questions

• Controlling resources
  • Need to abstract resource requirements into capacity parameters in SLA like form
  • Need availability/resilience in SLA like form
  • Mapped through layers with appropriate translation in each layer
  • Not clear how to use deployment flavours and affinity rules to do this
SECURITY

• Normal system features
  • E.g. Role based authorisation, secure remote links within OSM and to VIMs

• Operational integrity
  • On boarding
    • Robust validation including validation of all process automation implied in descriptors
    • Catalogues accurate and up to date
  • Altering configuration in-life
    • Cannot change a VNF (or component NS) so composite NS doesn’t work
    • Can change VM, VNFC, VNF, component NS to allow autonomous management of component
  • Reliable and appropriate in-service monitoring
  • Fully accurate inventory database
NEXT STEP FOR RELEASE THREE

- Collect and prioritize the proposed features and requirements for Release THREE.
  - E.g. Enable NS instances to save state, Performance management of NS, Auto-scaling, Affinity and anti-affinity rules for VNF deployment, Platform recovery after major failure, etc.

- Visualize potential commercial interests community may have regarding OSM
  1. **Available for OSM RFx** (e.g. integrator/provider)
  2. **Interoperability with OSM**
     a. **VNF provider**
        - Package might be available in OSM FTP if requested
     b. **Infra/VIM provider**
        - Infra might be interconnected to OSM’s remote labs if requested
Thank You

andy.bd.reid@bt.com
t.nakamura@cablelabs.com